29 August 2013


ACMA File Reference No. 2010/1753

We would like to take this opportunity to support the submission written by Mal Rock, Station Manager, 2MG and 2GEE (Mudgee Broadcasting Co Pty Ltd) on July 31st, 2013.

I must say that we are concerned and confused by Rebel’s request that ACMA consider varying the Remote LAP based on the Bocoble site, as this location is based within the Mudgee RA3 Licence Area that is serviced by 2GEE.

As we understand it, the intent of Remote Broadcasting Licences was to provide services where none existed. While this area is not included in our Bathurst Licence Area it would seem to make more sense for our services and perhaps those of Mudgee Broadcasting Company to be improved into this area as Bathurst and Mudgee have a community of interest with towns like Rylstone and Kandos.

We respectfully question the relevance of 4RBL and 4BRZ being given a footprint at Bocoble an area in Central New South Wales that does not share a community of interest with their Queensland based operations which are located more than a 10 hour drive from us.

As the crow flies, Bocoble is only 70 kilometres from Bathurst and the potential of another fortuitous signal being received in our market (where more than 30 services are received already), is a major concern. The Bocoble site under consideration at 1,037 metres is not that far below our own 2BXS (B-Rock) transmitter location at Yetholmes.

We agree with Mudgee Broadcasting Company that 4RBL and 4BRZ should not be permitted to broadcast from the proposed site at Bocoble because of an unacceptable level of overspill into Mudgee RA1 and Mudgee RA3 and the likelihood that this would also give them a signal that could be heard in areas of the Bathurst LAP.

Thank you for the opportunity to make our thoughts known.

Yours sincerely

BATHURST BROADCASTERS PTY LIMITED

[Signature]

Phil Cole
GENERAL MANAGER